

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/975,495	BRIER, MICHAEL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Erma Cameron	1762	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Erma Cameron.

(3) _____.

(2) Mark Bowen.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 February 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
 5-7

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claims 6 and 7 will be canceled as being drawn to a nonelected species. The status of Claim 5 will be corrected. A typo in the amendment to the specification will be corrected. This will all be done by examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)